

Episode Five: Route Talk

**Name: Date:**

1. How and/or why did the narrator of this podcast get involved in this case?

­­­­

2. Why does Adnan argue that he could not have committed the murder, as it was argued by the

prosecution?

3. Why is the phone booth so relevant to this case?

4. How long did it take for the narrator to recreated the route, which the prosecution asserts is the route

that Adnan took the day that he murdered Hae?

5. Does the “timed route” support or refute Adnan’s claim that it would have been impossible to have

committed the murder? What does this route recreation make you think?

6. What are the two pieces of evidence that the prosecution “pinned their case on”?

7. What is so significant about the analysis of the cell phone towers? What information is provided by this

information and what does it ultimately show?

8. According to Jay, what did he and Adnan discuss while at “Patapsco State Park”? How does Jay’s

account of this conversation change by trial?

9. Why have some sources, such as the Washington Post, suggested that cell phone records used by law

enforcement are not as reliable as once thought to be?

10. Instead, cell phone tower evidence can provide what information, more accurately?

11. Ultimately, what is the problem with the narrative of the events of the day that Jay gives, according to

the cell phone records and cell phone tower records?

12. If the cell phone tower drama at the trial was tedious and boring, as asserted by the narrator and/or

shown from the trial recording, does it have an impact on the outcome of the understanding of the

evidence and/or of the trial? Should it? As such, does such evidence in a court case, make the legal

system somewhat flawed?

13. How do the cell phone tower records really discredit Adnan and Jay simultaneously? How does this

fact complicate the case, and being able to find the truth?

13. The prosecutor in the case, Kevin Urick, in his opening statement tells the jury to “Look at the big

picture.” where the main plot points in Jay’s story have been consistent. He told them that “has

always given the same story about what the defendant did where.” As you have seen previously,

Jay’s story does change in some regards however. What is your opinion about this? Does that fact

that some of the stuff he states is consistent make him more believable? Does the fact that some of

his story changes make him less believable?

14. At this point in time, what are your feelings about Jay’s story?

15. At this point in time, do you believe that Adnan is guilty? Explain.